

1 8 0 3

Leonardo A. Pachón

Instituto de Física Universidad de Antioquia http://gfam.udea.edu.co/~Ipachon/

NON-MARKOVIANITY AND STRONG COUPLING EFFECTS IN OPTIMAL CONTROL THEORY

Bad Honnef, Germany April 11th, 2017 Johan F. Triana, Andres F. Estrada

Grupo de Física Atómica y Molecular, Instituto de Física, Universidad de Antioquia.

Optomechanics: third technology in the quantum regime



- Goals: interference between quantum technologies
 - preparation of quantum states in macroscopic systems
- Crux: ground state cooling
 - strong coupling regime (non-linear effects)



Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 1391 (2014)



Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 1391 (2014)

Two-mode squeezing in an electromechanical resonator

Mahboob et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 167203 (2014)



AF Estrada, LA Pachon New J. Phys. 17, 033038 (2015)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\rho}(q_{1+}'',q_{2+}'',q_{1-}'',q_{2-}'',t) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_{1+}' dq_{2+}' dq_{1-}' dq_{2-}' J(q_{1+}'',q_{2+}'',q_{1-}'',q_{2-}'',t;q_{1+}',q_{2+}',q_{1-}',q_{2-}',0) \\ &\times \rho_{S}(q_{1+}',q_{2+}',q_{1-}',q_{2-}',0), \end{split}$$



$$J(Q_1'', Q_2'', q_1'', q_2'', t; Q_1', Q_2', q_1', q_2', 0) = \frac{1}{N(t)} \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \left[q_{\alpha}'' \dot{Q}_{\alpha}(t) - q_{\alpha}' \dot{Q}_{\alpha}(0)\right] - \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t ds \int_0^s du \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 K_{\alpha}(u-s)q_{\alpha}(s)q_{\alpha}(u)\right\}$$

AF Estrada, LA Pachon New J. Phys. 17, 033038 (2015)



AF Estrada, LA Pachon New J. Phys. 17, 033038 (2015)



AF Estrada, LA Pachon New J. Phys. 17, 033038 (2015)

$$S(\omega) = \frac{1}{2m} J(\omega) \coth\left(\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\beta\right) \le \omega\mu \qquad \qquad \frac{k_B T}{\hbar\omega} \gg 1 \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{m} k_B T J(\omega) < \hbar\omega^2\mu$$

Non-Markovian scaled parameters (for the spectral density used here at long time)

JF Triana, AF Estrada, LA Pachon Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 183602 (2016)



$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= \hbar \omega_{\rm C} \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + \hbar \Omega_{\rm m} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} - \hbar g_0(t) \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow \hat{a} = \sqrt{n_{\rm cav}} + \delta \hat{a} \\ \hat{H} &= \hbar \omega_{\rm C} \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + \hbar \Omega_{\rm m} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} - \hbar g(t) (\delta \hat{a}^{\dagger} + \delta \hat{a}) (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^{\dagger}) \\ \text{Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 1391 (2014)} \end{split}$$



Coherent control Faraday Discuss. 163, 485 (2013), J. Chem. Phys. 139, 164123 (2013)

JF Triana, AF Estrada, LA Pachon Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 183602 (2016)

$$H_{S} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(\frac{p_{\alpha}^{2}}{2m_{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}^{2}q_{\alpha}^{2} \right) + c(t)q_{1}q_{2}$$

$$amplitude-modulated$$

$$amplitude-modulated$$

$$amplitude-modulated$$

$$Bev. Mod. Phys. 86, 1391 (2014)$$

Goal: Find the c(t) that maximizes entropy transfer under non-Markovian dynamics

Methodology: Use the steepest descent method to find the optimal c(t)

```
sq1q1[t_]:=(sp2p2i (U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])^2)/
    (4 (2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])<sup>2</sup>
                                                         sala1[t]:=(sp2p2i (U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])^2)/
    (((-U2p[^1 177[+]+II1/n[^] 177[+])
    (-m U2[t sq1q1[t_]:=(sp2p2i (U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])^2)/[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])^2
    (2 U2[t] (4 (2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])<sup>2</sup>
                                                                  )] V2[t]+U4p[0] V4[t])
   V4[t])^2 (((-U2p[0] V2[t]+U4p[0] V4[t])
                                                                  | V2p[0]+m U4[t] V4p[0]))/
    (V2p[0] (-m U2[t] V2p[0]+m U4[t] V4p[0]))/
                                                                   V2[t]-2 U4[t]
   V2[t]-2 (2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t]
                                                                  -(m (U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])
   U4[t]-U2V4[t])^2-(m (U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])
                                                                  /4[t]-V2[t] V4p[0]))/(2 U2[t]
   V4[t])^2 (V2p[0] V4[t]-V2[t] V4p[0]))/(2 U2[t]
                                                                   ^2) / V4[t]) ^2) ^2) + (vep2i^2 (U2p[0]
    (-m U2[t V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])^2)^2)+(vep2i^2 (U2p[0]
                                                                        4p[0])^2)/(4 (2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t]
   (2 U2[t] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])^2)/(4 (2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t]
                                                                       U2p[0] V2[t]+U4p[0] V4[t])
   -(m (U2p<sup>V4</sup>[t])<sup>2</sup> (((-U2p[0] V2[t]+U4p[0] V4[t])
                                                                       [0]+m U4[t] V4p[0]))/
   V4p[0])) (-m U2[t] V2p[0]+m U4[t] V4p[0]))/
                                                                       ]-2 U4[t] V4[t])^2
   +((U2p[0 (2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])^2
                                                                       4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0]) (V2p[0] V4[t]-V2[t]
            -(m (U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0]) (V2p[0] V4[t]-V2[t]
                                                                       2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])^2)^2)
     sqlq1[V4p[0]))/(2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])^2)^2)
                                                                       t]-U2[t] U4p[0])^2 (u22[t]
      (4 (2 +((U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])^2 (u22[t]
                                                                  tl
                                                                        v42[t]+u2[t] (u24[t] v1[t] (-u4[t] v1[t
      (((-U2p[0] V4[t])<sup>2</sup> (((-U2p[0] V2[t]+U4p[0] V4[t])
      (-m U2[t] \ (-m U2[t] V2p[0]+m U4[t] V4p[0]))/
                                                                       ])+u4[t] (-u42[t] v1[t]^2+u23[t] v1[t]
      (2 U2[t] V2(2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])<sup>2</sup>
                                                                        ] v1[t] v2[t]+v1[t] v14[t] v2[t]-v1[t]^2
sqlql[t_]:=(sp2p-(m (U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0]) (V2p[0] V4[t]-V2[t][t] U4p[0])^2)/<sup>143[t]</sup> v1[t] v4[t]+v1[t]
(4 (2 U2[t] V2[tV4p[0]))/(2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])^2)^2)
                                                                                         v4[t]-2 v11[t] v2[t] v4
(((-U2p[0] V2[t]+((U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])^2 (u22[t]
                                                                                        r2[t] v41[t]-v1[t]^2 v42[
                                                                                        -u23[t] v2[t]^2-u32[t]
(-m U2[t] V2p[0]+m U4[t] V4p[0]))/
                                                      U4[t] V4p[0]))/
(2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t]
                                                                                        :] v2[t] v24[t]-2 u44[t]
                                                      [t]
V4[t])<sup>2</sup>-(m (U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])
                                                                                        [[t]+u43[t] v2[t] v4[t]
                                                       U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])
(V2p[0] V4[t]-V2[t] V4p[0]))/(2 U2[t]
                                                                                        <sup>7</sup>21[t] v4[t]-2 v1[t] v22[
                                                       V4p[0]))/(2 U2[t]
                                                                                        v2[t] v42[t]))+(u4[t] v1
V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])^{2}^{2}+(vep2i^{2} (U2p[0])
                                                      )^2)^2)+(vep2i^2 (U2p[0]
U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])^{2}/(4 (2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t])
                                                                                        ? (u2[t] v2[t]-u4[t] v4[t
                                                       ^2)/(4 (2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t]
V4[t])^2 (((-U2p[0] V2[t]+U4p[0] V4[t])
                                                       V2[t]+U4p[0] V4[t])
(-m U2[t] V2p[0]+m U4[t] V4p[0]))/
                                                      U4[t] V4p[0]))/
(2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])<sup>2</sup>
                                                      [t] V4[t])^2
-(m (U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0]) (V2p[0] V4[t]-V2[t]
12[t] U4p[0]) (V2p[0] V4[t]-V2[t]
V4p[0]))/(2 U2[t] V2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])^2)^2)
                                                      <sup>'</sup>2[t]-2 U4[t] V4[t])<sup>2</sup>)<sup>2</sup>)
+((U2p[0] U4[t]-U2[t] U4p[0])^2 (u22[t]
                                                    _[t] U4p[0])^2 (u22[t]
```

Technical details





JF Triana, AF Estrada, LA Pachon Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 183602 (2016)

	$\langle \hat{n}(t_{ m cool}) angle$					
$\gamma/\omega_{ m mm}$	$opt.om_M + mm_M$	$\mathrm{om}_{\mathrm{nM}} + \mathrm{mm}_{\mathrm{nM}}$	$opt.om_{nM} + mm_{nM}$	$om_M + mm_{nM}$	$opt.om_M + mm_{nM}$	
10-6	9.03×10^{-3}	8.86×10^{-3}	3.43×10^{-3}	1.91×10^{-3}	9.99×10^{-5}	
10 ⁻⁵	1.04×10^{-2}	1.02×10^{-2}	4.79×10^{-3}	2.60×10^{-3}	7.91×10^{-4}	
10 ⁻⁴	3.28×10^{-2}	2.40×10^{-2}	1.99×10^{-2}	1.63×10^{-2}	1.52×10^{-2}	
10 ⁻³	2.61×10^{-1}	1.61×10^{-1}	1.53×10^{-1}	1.53×10^{-1}	1.51×10^{-1}	
10 ⁻²	2.45	1.52	1.50	1.50	1.50	
10 ⁻¹	21.12	14.21	13.52	13.52	13.52	

The cavity dissipation rate $\kappa = 10^{-4} \omega_{\rm mm}$

0.55				$(*con_M (*cool)))$
0.55	1×10^{-3}	0.016	0.015	0.014
0.6	1.5×10^{-2}	0.025	0.019	0.019
0.8	2.5×10^{-2}	0.029	0.030	0.021
0.8	4.5×10^{-2}	0.035	0.060	0.026
0.8	5.5×10^{-2}	0.037	0.086	0.032
1.0	1.25×10^{-1}	0.048	0.356	0.040
1.6	2.15×10^{-1}	0.056	2.34	0.044

100, $\gamma = 10^{-4} \omega_{\rm mm}$

JItrafast Optimal Sideband Cooling under Non-Markovian Evolution JF Triana, AF Estrada, LA Pachon Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 183602 (2016)





Surprisingly, significant enhancements are found as the interplay between non-Markovian dynamics in the mechanical mode, Markovian dynamics in optical mode and optimally-designed coupling functions.

Our approach can be readily implemented in semiclassical formulations of quantum mechanics in phase space [J. Chem. Phys. 132 (21), 214102 (2010), Chem. Phys. 375 (2), 209-215 (2010), Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 150401 (2009)]

Optimal control theory to simulate quantum correlations in quantum 2D spectroscopies.